3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

2425

DEFENDANT HOGAN'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND JURY DEMAND - 1

## WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ROBERT TURNER,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NICHOLAS HOGAN, JOHN DOE, DAVID HAYNES, and CITY OF TUKWILA.,

Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-00675

DEFENDANT HOGAN'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND JURY DEMAND

I.

By way of answer to plaintiff's complaint, Defendant Hogan admits, denies and alleges as follows:

Answering paragraph 1, this defendant admits that plaintiff is African American.

This defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the other allegations and therefore denies the same.

Answering paragraph 2, this defendant admits the same.

Answering paragraph 3, this defendant admits the same insofar as these allegations relate to him.

Turner Kugler Law, PLLC

4700 - 42<sup>nd</sup> Ave SW, Suite 540 Seattle, WA 98116 (206) 659-0679

R:\6729\Pleadings\answer.Hogan.wpd

24

25

Answering paragraph 4, this defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the other allegations and therefore denies the same.

Answering paragraph 5, this defendant admits the same.

Answering paragraph 6, this defendant denies the same.

Answering paragraphs 7 and 8 [1<sup>st</sup> paragraph with this number], this defendant admits the same. This matter was removed to federal court based on federal question jurisdiction.

Answering paragraph 9 [1<sup>st</sup> paragraph with this number], this defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the other allegations and therefore denies the same.

Answering paragraph 8 [sic, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph with this number], this defendant admits that he was acting within the scope and authority of his employment with the Tukwila Police Department and under color of law.

Answering paragraph 9 [sic, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph with this number], this defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the other allegations and therefore denies the same.

Answering paragraphs 10, 11 and 12, this defendant admits that on or about the date and time alleged, he and other Tukwila police officers were dispatched to the area of a residence located at 13305 Macadam Rd. S. to respond to multiple 911 callers' reports of up twenty gun fire shots, that one or more neighbors identified the residence located at 13305 Macadam Rd. S., as the source of the gun fire, that there were approximately fifteen to twenty adults present in the back yard of this residence. The other allegations are denied.

Answering paragraphs 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17, this defendant denies the same.

Answering paragraphs 18, 19, 20 and 21, this defendant admits that when officers encountered and attempted to separate an unruly individual, plaintiff attempted to approach the officer who was dealing with the unruly individual and failed to comply with this defendant's directions to step away. This defendant further admits that plaintiff then became aggressive, failed to comply with this defendant's attempt to escort plaintiff away, and physically assaulted this defendant. This defendant further admits that he fell to the ground during the assault from plaintiff. This defendant further admits that other officers who came to his assistance used pepper spray and a Taser on plaintiff. The other allegations are denied.

Answering paragraphs 22, 23 and 24, this defendant admits that he transported plaintiff and another offender to the King County Jail, that a jail nurse refused booking because plaintiff had started complaining of ankle pain, and that this defendant then transported plaintiff to Harborview for medical care. The other allegations are denied.

Answering paragraph 25, this defendant denies the same.

Answering paragraph 26, this defendant admits that plaintiff was charged with crimes of obstructing and assault against a police officer in Tukwila Municipal Court. This defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the other allegations and therefore denies the same.

Answering paragraph 27, this defendant denies the same.

Answering paragraph 28, this defendant admits that he was acting in the course and scope of his employment with the City of Tukwila's police department.

Answering paragraphs 29 and 30, this defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and therefore denies the same.

Answering paragraph 31, this defendant realleges his answer to the preceding paragraphs.

DEFENDANT HOGAN'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND JURY DEMAND - 3



Turner Kugler Law, PLLC

6

12

Answering paragraphs 32, 33 and 34, this defendant denies the same.

Answering paragraph 35, this defendant realleges his answer to the preceding paragraphs.

Answering paragraphs 36, 37 and 38, this defendant denies the same insofar as these allegations relate to him.

Answering paragraphs 39, this defendant realleges his answer to the preceding paragraphs.

Answering paragraphs 40, 41 and 42, this defendant denies the same insofar as these allegations relate to him.

Answering paragraph 43, this defendant realleges his answer to the preceding paragraphs.

Answering paragraph 44, this defendant admits that he was acting in the scope of his employment. This defendant denies the other allegations insofar as they relate to him.

Answering paragraph 45, this defendant realleges his answer to the preceding paragraphs.

Answering paragraph 46, this defendant denies the same insofar as these allegations relate to him.

II.

For further answer and by way of affirmative defenses, Defendant Hogan alleges as follows:

- 1. One or more of plaintiff's claims is barred by the applicable statute of limitations.
  - 2. This defendant is entitled to qualified and/or absolute immunity.
  - 4. The conduct of which plaintiff complains was privileged.

DEFENDANT HOGAN'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND JURY DEMAND - 4



Turner Kugler Law, PLLC

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 5. If plaintiff suffered injuries or damages, then such injuries or damages were caused in whole or in part by the contributory negligence or other fault of plaintiff, thus barring or diminishing plaintiff's claims, if any.
  - 6. Plaintiff has failed to mitigate damages.

III.

Wherefore, having fully answered plaintiff's complaint, this defendant prays that the same be dismissed with prejudice, that he recover his costs and attorney's fees, and that he recover such other and further relief as the court deems appropriate.

Dated: May 6, 2014.

TURNER KUGLER LAW, PLLC

By: <u>s/John T. Kugler</u>
John T. Kugler, WSBA # 19960
Attorney for Defendant Hogan

## **DEMAND FOR JURY**

The defendant hereby demands that trial in this matter be to a jury.

Dated: May 6, 2014.

TURNER KUGLER LAW, PLLC

By: <u>s/ John T. Kugler</u>
John T. Kugler, WSBA # 19960
Attorney for Defendant Hogan

DEFENDANT HOGAN'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND JURY DEMAND - 5 R:\(6729\Pleadings\answer.Hogan.wpd\)



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I hereby certify that on May 6, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing with the 2 Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing 3 to the following: Attorneys for Plaintiff: 4 Kirk C. Davis 5 Lee & Lee, P.S. 1001 Fourth Ave., Suite 2388 Seattle, WA 98154 6 (206) 684-9339 kirk.davis@leeandlee-ps.com 7 Attorneys for Defendants Haynes and City of Tukwila: 8 Shannon M. Ragonesi Keating, Bucklin & McCormack, Inc. PS 9 800 Fifth Ave., Ste. 4141 Seattle, WA 98104-3175 10 (206) 623-8861 SRagonesi@kbmlawyers.com 11 and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service the document to 12 the following non-CM/ECF participants: 13 none 14 s/ John T. Kugler JOHN T. KUGLER, WSB #19960 15 Attorney for Defendant KCHA TURNÉR KUGLER LAW, PLLC 16 4700 42<sup>nd</sup> Ave SW, Ste. 540 Seattle, WA 98116 17 Telephone: (206) 659-0679 E-mail: john@turnerkuglerlaw.com 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 DEFENDANT HOGAN'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND JURY



DEMAND - 6